

**On the Take:
How America's Complicity
with Big Business Can
Endanger Your Health**

JEROME P. KASSIRER, M.D.

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

ON THE TAKE

This page intentionally left blank

ON THE TAKE

**HOW AMERICA'S COMPLICITY WITH BIG BUSINESS
CAN ENDANGER YOUR HEALTH**

JEROME P. KASSIRER, M.D.

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

2005

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD NEW YORK

AUCKLAND BANGKOK BUENOS AIRES CAPE TOWN CHENNAI
DAR ES SALAAM DELHI HONG KONG ISTANBUL KARACHI KOLKATA
KUALA LUMPUR MADRID MELBOURNE MEXICO CITY MUMBAI NAIROBI
SÃO PAULO SHANGHAI TAIPEI TOKYO TORONTO

Copyright © 2005 by Jerome P. Kassirer, M.D.

Published by Oxford University Press, Inc.
198 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016
www.oup.com

Oxford is a registered trademark of Oxford University Press

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise,
without the prior permission of Oxford University Press.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Kassirer, Jerome P., 1932–

On the take : how medicine's complicity with big business can endanger your
health / by Jerome P. Kassirer.

p. ; cm. Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-19-517684-7

1. Physicians—Professional ethics—United States.

2. Pharmaceutical industry—Corrupt practices—United States.

3. Medical ethics—United States. 4. Conflict of interest. 5. Gifts.

[DNLM: 1. Practice Management, Medical—ethics.

2. Conflict of Interest. 3. Physician Incentive Plans—ethics.

4. Physician's Practice Patterns—ethics. 5. Physician's Role.

6. Physician-Patient Relations—ethics. W 50 K188o 2004]

I. Title: How medicine's complicity with big business can endanger your health.

II. Title.

R725.5.K376 2004

174.2'6—dc22 2004012890

1 3 5 7 9 8 6 4 2

Printed in the United States of America
on acid-free paper

to Sheridan

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to dozens, scores, and possibly hundreds of physicians. Many contributed their time, knowledge, and wisdom to help me delve into the kind, extent, and consequences of physicians' collaborations with industry. Many were forthcoming and eager to get the problem exposed. I also interviewed many who were defensive, even angry at the inference that financial conflicts might have influenced their medical decisions. I understand their attitude: because financial arrangements with industry create an impossible-to-resolve dilemma between a doctor's professional role and his or her personal responsibilities, exposure of their conflict is a moral stigmatizer. Interestingly, I also interviewed many others who had no financial conflicts and expressed their moral indignation about the misdeeds of their colleagues who did, yet demurred when I asked to cite their quotes by name. They disappointed me. Financial conflicts of interest invoke strong emotions.

Support from the Josiah Macy Jr. Foundation, the Open Society Institute, and the American Board of Internal Medicine Foundation made the project possible. June Osborn at the Macy Foundation, Gara LaMarche and David Rothman at OSI, and Harry Kimball and Christine Cassel at ABIM deserve special mention for their encouragement. The foundation support made it possible to hire three enthusiastic and outstanding research assistants, Ethan Eddy and Vu Luu from Tufts University School of Medicine and Lisa Olmos from Baylor College of Medicine. Their contributions were both technical and intellectual. I owe a special debt of gratitude to my bosses who created the academic atmosphere and the flexible teaching schedule that allowed me to devote time to the book. They include John T. Harrington, Nicolaos Madias, Michael Rosenblatt, and Deeb Salem at Tufts

University School of Medicine, and David Kessler, Ralph Horwitz, and David Coleman at Yale University School of Medicine. Raymond Tye, an old friend and former patient who is silently behind many medical projects in Boston, provided substantial financial support for my position at Tufts.

Many people enriched my understanding of the subject, debated the pros and cons of relations with industry, read drafts of chapters, provided information, and made invaluable suggestions. They include Elliott Antman, Robert Bass, William Bennett, David Blumenthal, Sandy Bogucki, Robert Bonow, Dawn Bravata, Troyen Brennan, Susan Chimonas, James Cleeman, Jordan Cohen, Douglas Drossman, Thomas Duffy, Peter Eisenberg, Scott Epstein, Mark Feldman, Thomas Finucane, Marshall Folstein, Joanne Foody, Howard Gardner, Lawrence Gartner, Thomas Glynn, Stephen Goldfinger, William Gouveia, William Grossman, Karen Hein, James Herndon, Cora Ho, Jerry Hoffman, Timothy Johnson, Ingrid Katz, Norman Katz, Paul Katz, Ruth Katz, Vincent Kerr, Harry Kimball, Harlan Krumholz, Neil Kurtzman, Andrew Levey, Peter Libby, David Lowance, Kenneth Ludmerer, Eric Mazur, Donald Moore, Carol Nadelson, James Naughton, Joseph Palca, Brian Pereira, Eric Peterson, Robert Reisman, Ellen Relkin, John Ritchie, Marc Rodwin, David Rothman, Harry Selker, David Shriger, Neil Smelser, Robert Steinbrook, Samuel Thier, Dennis Thompson, Robert Utiger, Shaw Warren, Douglas Waud, John Wennberg, James Weyhemeyer, Stephen Winter, and Alexi Wright. Inevitably, this list will be incomplete.

The book covers many aspects of medicine in which I am not an expert, as well as fields such as psychology and sociology. In all these disciplines I relied on the knowledge and advice of many of the above people and others for accuracy and interpretation of information, but in the final analysis any misinterpretations are my own.

I also relied heavily on the outstanding work of several reporters, many of whom have doggedly pursued the well-hidden financial conflicts of physicians for years. They include Liz Kowalczyk at the *Boston Globe*, Andrew Julien and Matthew Kauffman at the *Hartford Courant*, David Willman at the *Los Angeles Times*, Melody Petersen, Kurt Eichenwald, and Gina Kolata at the *New York Times*, Duff Wilson and David Heath at the *Seattle Times*, Jennifer Washburn and Eyal Press of the *Atlantic Monthly*, and Dennis Cauchon

at *USA Today*. Melody Petersen deserves special mention because of the extent and excellence of her work.

Special credit goes to Larry Tye, former reporter for the *Boston Globe*. To my delight, Larry became my mentor. He urged me to apply for foundation support and to hire research assistants to help with the work. He pressed me to go beyond a literature survey, to use the techniques of investigative reporting, and to go after substantial fresh material to supplement all that was already available in journals and newspapers. In lunch meetings in Cambridge over many months, he listened patiently to my progress, pushed me hard, and helped me become a fledgling reporter. Theresa Park, my agent, never lost faith in the project, and found it the right home with Oxford University Press, where my superb editor, Timothy Bartlett, improved the book's organization, style, writing, and especially the logic.

The love, support, and unshakable optimism of my children—Amy, Richard, Wendy, Elizabeth, Winston, and Sam—have heightened the many peaks of my career and blunted the occasional valley. I owe them more than they owe me.

Nobody deserves more credit than my wife, Sheridan. Within weeks after I left the *New England Journal of Medicine* in 1999, she began encouraging me to write a book, and her support has been unflinching. Despite her own demanding schedule, she never failed to listen patiently to my daily stories and occasional frustrations. In her “copious free time” she uncomplainingly read and edited the entire book three times. Her integrity, intelligence, tenacity, and her love continue to be an inspiration.